



# Third Program Year CAPER

The CPMP Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report includes Narrative Responses to CAPER questions that CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, and ESG grantees must respond to each year in order to be compliant with the Consolidated Planning Regulations. The Executive Summary narratives are optional.

Summary narratives are optional.

The grantee must submit an updated Financial Summary Report (PR26).

## GENERAL

### Executive Summary

This module is optional but encouraged. If you choose to complete it, provide a brief overview that includes major initiatives and highlights third that were proposed and executed throughout the first year.

Program Year 3 CAPER Executive Summary response:

This Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) includes the housing and community development activities that were undertaken and accomplishments by the City of Las Vegas (City) for the Federal Fiscal Year of July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009 (2008-2009). The Federal programs that funded these activities include the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG), Home Investment Partnerships (HOME), and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) programs.

The draft 2008-2009 CAPER was made available to the public through advertisement in local newspapers on September 15, 2009 for a 15-day public review and comment period. The draft CAPER was made available in print form at the Neighborhood Services Department. The final CAPER will be available to the public on the City's website at [www.lasvegasnevada.gov](http://www.lasvegasnevada.gov) and in print form at the Neighborhood Services Department.

### General Questions

1. Assessment of the one-year goals and objectives:
  - a. Describe the accomplishments in attaining the goals and objectives for the reporting period.
  - b. Provide a breakdown of the CPD formula grant funds spent on grant activities for each goal and objective.
  - c. If applicable, explain why progress was not made towards meeting the goals and objectives.
2. Describe the manner in which the recipient would change its program as a result of its experiences.
3. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing:
  - a. Provide a summary of impediments to fair housing choice.
  - b. Identify actions taken to overcome effects of impediments identified.

4. Describe Other Actions in Strategic Plan or Action Plan taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs.
5. Leveraging Resources
  - a. Identify progress in obtaining "other" public and private resources to address needs.
  - b. How Federal resources from HUD leveraged other public and private resources.
  - c. How matching requirements were satisfied.

Program Year 3 CAPER General Questions response:

## **1. One-Year Goals and Objectives Assessment**

### **a. Accomplishments**

For 2008-2009, the City of Las Vegas (City) CDBG program assisted a total of 235,639 low and moderate income persons. Several public facilities and improvements were underway or completed during the program year. The majority of public facilities and improvements met their one-year goals as shown in the Community Needs Table.

The City's CDBG public services funds were allocated to non-profit organizations that carried out several successful life skills, childcare, youth, and social services programs that served 235,639 low and moderate income persons. Public services programs included general public services, senior services, handicapped services, youth services, battered and abused spouses, employment training, child care services, and rental housing subsidies. The City's public services program exceeded its overall one-year goal as shown in the Community Needs Table.

The City's CDBG program completed emergency repairs, minor home repairs, and housing rehabilitation for a total of 200 households. For the CDBG rehab; single-unit residential activity, the City exceeded its one-year goal as shown in the Community Needs Table.

The City's ESG program provided assistance to a total of 586,504 homeless persons. ESG activities included social services, operating costs for homeless shelters, employment services, food pantry, outreach, transitional housing, health care, mental health services, alcohol/drug problem services, homeless prevention, drop-in shelters, and soup kitchen meals

The City's HOME program provided tenant-based rental assistance for over 250 families.

The City's HOPWA program provided housing assistance to 2,574 persons with HIV/AIDS and their family members located throughout the Las Vegas Metropolitan Area. Housing assistance included tenant-based rental assistance, short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance, facility-based programs, units in facilities with operating costs, and units in facilities being developed with capital funding but not opened. Housing information services were provided to 2,048 persons with HIV/AIDS and their family members. Supportive services were provided to 2,022 persons with HIV/AIDS and their family members. Only supportive services of the HOPWA activities exceeded their one-year goals as shown in the Community Needs Table.

**b. Breakdown of Grant Funds**

Table 1 below indicates the grant category, goal, actual accomplishment, and the amount expended. The Housing, Public Services, Social Services, and Supportive Services categories met or exceeded their one-year goals for 2008-2009.

**Table 1 – Expenditures by Grant and Category (I will update the #'s once I get the PR reports to print.)**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<b>CDBG</b>			
Acquisition/Property Related	1	1	\$1,074
Housing	1	1	\$391,050
Public Facilities/Improvements	6	7	\$3,567,715
Public Services	50,234	235,639	\$814,361
<b>ESG</b>			
Social Services	11,309	586,504	\$226,273
<b>HOME</b>			
Homebuyers Assistance	4	2	\$9,953
New Construction	10	20	\$1,390,245
Rehabilitation	16	7	\$51,384
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	7	9	\$20,000
<b>HOPWA</b>			
Housing Assistance	660	562	\$505,997
Housing Information Services	3,813	2,048	\$150,741
Supportive Services	1,146	2,022	\$481,472

**c. Progress Not Made**

For the majority of the City’s 2008-2009 activities, the City exceeded most of its goals as shown in Table 1 and the Needs Tables. Overall, the City met or exceeded its annual goals for the majority of activities.

However, the city did have one (1) agency fail in the delivery of program services – Caminar, which provided services to individuals with HIV. The city, working with HUD, has identified a replacement agency to continue to provide the services once provided by Caminar.

**2. Program Changes**

In 2008-2009, the City switched its housing rehabilitation activities to CDBG. The change was implemented to consider the ratio to home value to cost of repairs.

The City also implemented a policy change regarding the expenditure rate subreipients must adhere to regarding awarded funds. Agencies are required to have submitted invoices for reimbursement for 50% of their allocation by December 30<sup>th</sup> and 75% of their allocation by March 30<sup>th</sup>. Agencies that have not met these expenditure goals risk forfeiture of the allocations.

### **3. Fair Housing**

#### **a. Summary of Impediments to Fair Housing**

The City developed and approved an Analysis of Impediments (AI) to Fair Housing in 2004. The AI study is available at the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Services Department.

Summary of Las Vegas Impediments to Fair Housing Choice:

- Lack of Awareness about fair housing/reporting violations
- Lack of accessible housing/accessibility
- Discrimination
- Affordable Housing Concentration
- Lack of affordable housing

#### **b. Actions Taken to Overcome Impediments**

The city is taking positive actions toward furthering fair housing for its citizens. The AI study does make some recommendations for improvements and additional actions as follows:

- Increase citizens' understanding of fair housing laws.
- Improve landlords, property managers and Realtors knowledge of fair housing laws.
- Reduce fair housing impediments for people with disabilities.
- Work with the Las Vegas Housing Authority to ensure continued compliance with fair housing.
- Increase the city's role in fair housing.
- Better understand predatory lending problems and take actions to mitigate such activities if warranted.
- Continue working with regional planning efforts to ensure an equitable distribution of affordable housing throughout the Greater Las Vegas Valley and improve regional public transit systems.
- Encourage the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Commission to put affordable housing and fair housing on their agenda.

### **4. Other Actions to Address Obstacles to Meeting Underserved Needs**

The City continues to fund several non-profit organizations that provide affordable housing, homeless services, and public services. The City funded projects that provided for the acquisition, rehabilitation, and construction of rental and owner housing units. These projects included single-family housing rehabilitation, minor home repairs, emergency repairs, home buyers assistance, tenant-based rental assistance, and new construction of multi-family rental housing.

Seniors and special needs housing and services are underserved needs in the community. The City provided funding for two affordable senior housing projects, one public facility for seniors, and several senior services projects. These services

included transportation assistance, food programs, health services, and other senior services. The City also provided funding to two handicapped facilities for persons with special needs and several special needs services projects. These services included job training, transportation assistance, alcohol/drug abuse services, case management, mental health services, health services, and other services.

## **5. Leveraging Resources**

### **a. Progress in Obtaining Public and Private Resources**

There has been progress in the City's grant application process. CDBG, ESG, and HOPWA grant applications require that applicants must leverage a certain percentage of other public or private resources. The CDBG Public Services program limited applicant request to 25 percent (%) of their total program budget. Therefore, the CDBG Public Services program leveraged at least 75 percent (%) for each sub-recipient that received funding. The ESG program required a 100 percent (%) match for the amount of ESG funds requested. The HOPWA program limited applicants to not request more than 60 percent (%) of their total program budget. The HOPWA program leveraged at least 40 percent (%) for each project sponsor that received funding.

### **b. Leveraging Public and Private Resources**

For 2008-2009, the City used its 18 percent (%) Redevelopment Set-Aside funds to be used for affordable housing projects. The City has created affordable housing programs that leverage HOME funds, such as the purchasing of land (Monroe and "I" Street - \$1,194,950). The Homeownership for Educators Program is a partnership with the Clark County School District (CCSD) that was created in 2005. The program provides eligible teachers with home buyers assistance and rental assistance. To date over 77 teachers have been assisted through this program. Currently, the program has a budget of \$500,000 in 18 percent (%) Redevelopment Set-Aside funds.

The Sub-recipient CDBG Construction/Rehabilitation program had a total of \$623,644 and leveraged an estimated \$6,524,300 in public and private funding. The City CDBG Construction/Rehabilitation projects leveraged a significant amount of City funds. The CDBG Public Services program had a total of \$717,742 and leveraged at least \$8,974,632 in public and private funding. The ESG program had a total of \$226,273 and leveraged an estimated \$6,966,733 in public and private funding. The HOPWA program had a total of \$952,000 and leveraged an estimated \$1,809,740 in public and private funding. The CDBG, ESG, and HOPWA programs leveraged a total of at least \$24,786,934 in public and private funding.

### **c. Matching Requirements**

The City met the HUD matching funds requirements for the Emergency Shelter Grant Program by requiring the non-profit agencies to secure the match. A total of \$6,966,733 in matching funds was provided through a variety of public and private funding sources that leveraged the \$226,273 in ESG received by the City.

## **Managing the Process**

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to ensure compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements.

Program Year 3 CAPER Managing the Process response:

### **1. Actions Taken to Ensure Program Compliance**

The actions taken during the last year to ensure compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements include the following:

- Technical assistance workshops for the CDBG, ESG, HOME, and HOPWA programs were held during the grant application process.
- CDBG, ESG, HOME, and HOPWA program manuals are updated annually with the latest guidelines and regulations.
- Off-site and/or on-site monitoring was completed at least once in the last program year for each non-profit organization.
- Sub-recipients and project sponsors that did not spend their grant funds in a timely manner had their grant funding reprogrammed for other eligible activities.
- Several sub-recipients and project sponsors received technical assistance on an individual basis from City staff.
- HUD's new outcome performance measurement framework was made available during the 2008-2009 program year. The majority of City program staff attended the HUD Community Planning and Development outcome performance measurement framework training sessions.
- The City has implemented the HUD performance measure system into one grant application and consolidated planning processes.
- Performance measures and outcomes were included for each project or program listed in the City's 2008-2009 Action Plan.
- The CPMP planning tool was used to create the Consolidated Plan, Action Plan and was used to create this CAPER.
- Two City program staff persons are trained and responsible for completing environmental reviews on projects and making sure that projects are in compliance with the Federal environmental regulations.
- Two City program staff persons are trained and responsible for making sure projects are in compliance with the Federal Davis-Bacon regulations. A total of 12 Davis-Bacon compliance reviews were completed.

## **Citizen Participation**

1. Provide a summary of citizen comments.
2. In addition, the performance report provided to citizens must identify the Federal funds made available for furthering the objectives of the Consolidated Plan. For each formula grant program, the grantee shall identify the total amount of funds available (including estimated program income), the total amount of funds committed during the reporting period, the total amount expended during the reporting period, and the geographic distribution and location of expenditures. Jurisdictions are encouraged to include maps in describing the geographic

distribution and location of investment (including areas of minority concentration). The geographic distribution and expenditure requirement may also be satisfied by specifying the census tracts where expenditures were concentrated.

\*Please note that Citizen Comments and Responses may be included as additional files within the CPMP Tool.

Program Year 3 CAPER Citizen Participation response:

**1. Citizen Comments**

None.

**2. Grantee Performance**

For the 2008-2009 program year, the City expended a total of \$8,902,212 in State and HUD grant funds. Table 2 below indicates for each grant program the amount of funds available and 2008-2009 funds expended for the 2008-2009 program year.

**Table 2 - Grantee Performance Table**

<b>Grant Program</b>	<b>Funds Available</b>	<b>Funds Expended</b>
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)*	\$5,068,721	\$5,381,919
HOME Investment Partnership Program (Federal) Including American Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI)	\$19,000	\$0.00
HOME Investment Partnership Program (State)	\$436,802	\$436,560
Low-Income Housing Trust Fund (LIHTF) (State)	\$1,290,346	\$1,974,580
Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA)	\$952,000	\$891,867
Emergency Shelter Grant Program (ESG)	\$226,273	\$217,286
*Prior year funds also expended	\$10,963,658	\$8,902,212.00

For the 2008-2009 program year, the City expended a total of \$8,187,974.00 in HUD grant funds. Table 3 below indicates the geographic distribution of grant fund expenditures by City of Las Vegas U.S. Census Tracts for the 20087-2009 program year. Some of the Public Services programs, Homebuyers Assistance, and Housing Rehabilitation are citywide programs. HOPWA program expenditures were spent throughout the eligible Las Vegas Metropolitan Area.

**Table 3 - Geographic Distribution for Each Grant Program**

<b>Grant Program</b>	<b>Funds Expended</b>	<b>City of Las Vegas Census Tracts</b>
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	\$5,381,919	2.01, 2.03, 3.01, 3.02, 4.00, 5.03, 5.04, 5.10, 5.11, 7.00, 8.00, 11.00, 12.00, 22.01, 29.05, 34.25, 35.00; Citywide (12 Public Service Projects)
HOME Investment Partnership Program (Federal)	\$1,627,782	4.00, 5.11, 5.14, 32.24, 34.17, 35.00; Citywide (Homebuyers Assistance, Multi-Family, Senior Housing and Housing Rehabilitation)
Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA)	\$952,000	Las Vegas Metropolitan Area
Emergency Shelter Grant Program (ESG)	\$226,273	3.01, 3.02, 4.00, 5.10, 5.17, 34.14

**Institutional Structure**

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to overcome gaps in institutional structures and enhance coordination.

Program Year 3 CAPER Institutional Structure response:

**1. Actions Taken to Overcome Gaps in Institutional Structure**

During the last year, the Neighborhood Services Department has continued existing partnerships and established new partnerships with for-profit and non-profit organizations to address the City’s housing and community development needs. The Department administered its own grant-funded programs and allocated grant funding to several non-profit organizations for their programs and projects.

The City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Services Department participated in the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC) workforce housing and homeless committee meetings. The SNRPC is an organization that brings together all public jurisdictions, including the City of Las Vegas, to coordinate policies and programs dealing with regional quality of life issues. These issues include air quality, homelessness, housing, population growth, public facilities, transportation, and water.

The City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Services Department continued to meet with Clark County, Henderson, North Las Vegas, once every two months to discuss issues regarding the HUD grant programs. The discussions include community development, housing, homeless, workforce housing, special needs, future ground breakings/building dedications/bid openings, and Bureau of Land Management pilot projects,

## Monitoring

1. Describe how and the frequency with which you monitored your activities.
2. Describe the results of your monitoring including any improvements.
3. Self Evaluation
  - a. Describe the effect programs have in solving neighborhood and community problems.
  - b. Describe progress in meeting priority needs and specific objectives and help make community's vision of the future a reality.
  - c. Describe how you provided decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunity principally for low and moderate-income persons.
  - d. Indicate any activities falling behind schedule.
  - e. Describe how activities and strategies made an impact on identified needs.
  - f. Identify indicators that would best describe the results.
  - g. Identify barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the strategies and overall vision.
  - h. Identify whether major goals are on target and discuss reasons for those that are not on target.
  - i. Identify any adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities that might meet your needs more effectively.

Program Year 3 CAPER Monitoring response:

### 1. Monitoring Frequency

Non-profit organizations were contacted by letter notifying them that City staff would contact them by phone to schedule a monitoring visit. They were notified that the monitoring visit included financial and programmatic monitoring of their grant program(s). City staff also requested that they have the following ready for review: client files, payment requests, supporting documentation, financial statements, audits, organization policy and procedures, organization charts, current list of board members, and board meeting minutes. City staff scheduled the on-site monitoring visits by phone with the non-profit organizations.

Off-site and/or on-site monitoring was completed at least once in the last program year for each non-profit organization (site visits and desktop). Monitoring forms were completed for non-profit organizations that received CDBG, ESG, and HOPWA grant funding. There are separate monitoring forms for CDBG, ESG, and HOPWA, including the federal regulations for each grant program. Monitoring forms included the following: performance indicators, performance measures, project file records review, program eligibility, sub-recipient responsibilities, performance and expenditures, reporting requirements, insurance, audit or financial statements, management, budgetary controls, record-keeping, finance, internal controls, inventory controls, personnel, governing body, Americans with Disabilities Act, and Separation of Church and State.

## **2. Monitoring Results**

Results of the monitoring showed that the majority of subrecipients and project sponsors are administering HUD grant funds effectively according to the program regulations. Program, financial, organizational, and client eligibility documentation were adequately provided by the sub-recipients and project sponsors during the monitoring visits. Grant program funding was found to be spent appropriately on eligible program activities.

During the last program year several findings were issued by the City to subrecipients. Help of Southern Nevada was issued a finding regarding program expenditures and documentation. Women's Development Corporation was issued a finding regarding proper contracts to utilize for the leasing of HOME funding units. Technical assistance was provided to both agencies.

No other findings or concerns were issued to sub-recipients or project sponsors in the last program year. Technical assistance was also provided.

## **3. Self Evaluation**

### **a. Describe the effect programs have in solving neighborhood and community problems.**

Programs help to address neighborhood and community resident needs. Programs help to make housing more affordable for low and moderate-income residents. Neighborhoods become more sustainable through the rehabilitation of owner-occupied housing units. Infrastructure improvements, such as sidewalks, also help to improve neighborhoods. Providing public facilities and services to neighborhood residents helps to promote healthy lifestyles. Services for the City's homeless and special needs populations were provided through the CDBG, ESG, and HOPWA programs.

### **b. Describe progress in meeting priority needs and specific objectives and help make community's vision of the future a reality.**

The City's CDBG, ESG, and HOPWA programs made a significant impact on declining neighborhoods and low and moderate-income residents. CDBG funds were used to help construct and rehabilitate public facilities including senior centers, youth facilities, neighborhood facilities, handicapped facilities, homeless facilities, and recreational facilities. Infrastructure improvements were also made including traffic signal installations and park improvements. CDBG funds were also used to provide a variety of affordable child care, social services, life skills, and youth services programs for low and moderate income residents.

ESG funds were used to provide homeless shelter operations, essential services, and homeless prevention for the homeless population. HOPWA funds were used to provide short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance, housing operations, housing rehabilitation, housing information services, and supportive services for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families.

**c. Describe how you provided decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunity principally for low and moderate-income persons.**

The City completed the construction or rehabilitation of 698 affordable housing units. The City provided a suitable living environment through programs funded by CDBG, ESG, and HOPWA. No economic development activities were undertaken during the 2008-2009 program year. Please refer to the Project Tables which indicate the activities that provided decent housing, a suitable living environment, and expanded economic opportunity.

**d. Indicate any activities falling behind schedule.**

None.

**e. Describe how activities and strategies made an impact on identified needs.**

The activities and strategies that made an impact on identified need are shown in the Needs Tables.

**f. Identify indicators that would best describe the results.**

The indicators that would best describe the results are outlined in the Project Tables.

**g. Identify barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the strategies and overall vision.**

The following are barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the City's strategies and overall vision:

- Decrease in available HUD Consolidated Plan funding sources
- Decrease in state and local funding sources
- Decrease in public and private partnerships
- Increase in land costs
- Lack of expertise and capacity of non-profit housing developers
- Additional costs required to construct special needs housing
- Less funding available for public services
- Neighborhood crime
- Code enforcement issues such as graffiti and illegal dumping
- Lack of private sector investment in low and moderate-income neighborhoods
- Affordable housing stock is often older housing needing major repairs
- Lack of available property in well developed areas.

**h. Identify whether major goals are on target and discuss reasons for those that are not on target.**

The City's major Housing, Homeless, and Community Development goals are on target as shown in the General Questions section of this report (page 1).

**i. Identify any adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities that might meet your needs more effectively.**

Strategies and efficiency goals that were developed during FY 2008-2009 for Down Payment Assistance should assist in increasing the numbers in this area of home purchasing

**Lead-based Paint**

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to evaluate and reduce lead-based paint hazards.

Program Year 3 CAPER Lead-based Paint response:

**1. Actions Taken to Evaluate and Reduce Lead-based Paint Hazards**

The City distributed publications from the Federal Environmental Protection Agency regarding the hazards of lead based paint to all applicants for housing rehabilitation activities (“Protect Your Family From Lead in Your Home”, EPA Pamphlet #747-K-94-001, May, 1995, edition). Without exception, applicants were given the EPA pamphlet and required to sign a form stating they had been advised of the potential dangers of lead based paint. The City maintained a signed copy of record in each applicant file. Optional blood tests conducted by the Clark County Health Services were also offered to applicants to determine if blood levels had reached a critical stage prior to commencing any housing rehabilitation activities. The City has also offered actual rehabilitation sites for use in training sessions for contractors who will perform lead based paint testing and remediation.

For the City’s single-family housing rehabilitation program, the City utilized its XRF Analyzer which was used for lead-based paint testing for the 2008-2009 program year. The City allocated HOME funds to test homes built before 1978 for lead-based paint hazards. During the 2008-2009 program year, 21 homes were tested and three homes were found to contain lead-based paint hazards. All three homes were abated through the lead-based paint abatement program.

**HOUSING**

**Housing Needs**

\*Please also refer to the Housing Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Describe Actions taken during the last year to foster and maintain affordable housing.

Program Year 3 CAPER Housing Needs response:

For actions taken during the last year to foster and maintain affordable housing, please refer to the specific housing objectives section of this CAPER.

## Specific Housing Objectives

1. Evaluate progress in meeting specific objective of providing affordable housing, including the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income renter and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.
2. Evaluate progress in providing affordable housing that meets the Section 215 definition of affordable housing for rental and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.
3. Describe efforts to address “worst-case” housing needs and housing needs of persons with disabilities.

Program Year 3 CAPER Specific Housing Objectives response:

### 1. Specific Housing Objectives

On July 1, 2006, the City left the Clark County HOME Consortium and became a HOME-entitlement grantee. Prior year HOME program funds spent on HOME projects are reported within the Clark County HOME Consortium CAPER which is available at <http://www.co.clark.nv.us/finance/crm/Library.htm>. Current year HOME program funds spent on HOME projects are reported within this CAPER. The HOME program was included in the City’s 2007-2010 Consolidated Plan.

The following table indicates the City’s Housing Construction projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year. Table 4 below includes the housing activity, type of households, goal and actual accomplishment for the number of affordable housing units, and amount expended.

**Table 4 – City of Las Vegas Housing Construction Projects Summary**

Activity	Type	Goal	Actual	Amount
Salvation Army – Horizon Crest	Below 60% of AMI	78	78	\$0
CDPCN – Senator Richard Bryan II	Below 60% of AMI	120	120	\$246,198
Total		198	198	\$246,198

The following table indicates the City’s Housing Rehabilitation projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year. Table 5 below includes the housing activity, type of households, goal and actual accomplishment for the number of affordable housing units, and amount expended.

**Table 5 – Housing Rehabilitation Activities (Same as above)**

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Amount</b>
CLV Housing Rehab – Mobile Home and Emergency Repair	Below 30% of AMI	11	11	\$79,133
	30-50% of AMI	4	4	
	50-80% of AMI	1	1	
Rebuilding Together – Christmas in April	Special Needs - Seniors	25	62	\$125,000
James Seastrand Helping Hands – Minor Home Repairs	Special Needs - Seniors	30	130	\$10,000
CLV Single Family Housing Rehabilitation	80% of AMI and below	7	7	\$109,474
Total		98	184	\$297,923

**2. Section 215 Housing**

None.

**3. Housing Needs for Persons with Disabilities**

The City is in the process of searching for land that is available and suitable for the development of housing for persons with disabilities. During the last program year, the City met with representatives of a national non-profit developer of housing for persons with disabilities to discuss project feasibility and requirements. Once the land is acquired by the City for this purpose, the City plans to issue a Request for Proposals (RFP) for the construction of a housing development for persons with disabilities. Two (2) acres of land is required for this type of development.

**Public Housing Strategy**

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to improve public housing and resident initiatives.

Program Year 3 CAPER Public Housing Strategy response:

**1. Actions Taken to Improve Public Housing**

**Actions to Foster Housing Improvements**

The Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas (HACLV) has expanded the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program to be the largest in the State of Nevada with over 4,100 vouchers. The Section 8 Program has also been expanded utilizing Project-Based Assistance at Juan Garcia Gardens. This 52-unit complex is providing additional affordable housing for families at or below 50 percent (%) of area median income.

Additionally, HACLV has implemented its Section 8 Homeownership Program option and currently has nineteen (19) participants under contract. This program allows the Section 8 Voucher subsidy to be utilized for mortgage payments versus rental

assistance. Participants must be graduates of the Section 8 Family Self Sufficiency (FSS) program.

The HACLV is in the process of redeveloping Ernie Cragin Terrace (NV39002011). The HACLV has issued Section 8 Vouchers and anticipates demolishing the complex in January 2010. The development plan for the site is to construct a new mixed-use housing family development.

Also, through a partnership with Community Development Programs Center of Nevada the Second Phase of Senator Richard Bryan Senior Apartments -120 affordable senior units and a clubhouse were developed through private investment from the sale of Low Income Housing Tax Credits, and the award of Home and Low Income Housing Trust Funds from the City of Las Vegas. The project was substantially completed June 30, 2008 and is currently 100% leased

The HACLV completed the second phase of Rulon Earl Mobile Home Park. The second phase added 6 single and 45 double wide new pads. The project was financed through a conventional construction loan.

HACLV will continue seeking to partner with private developers to further increase low and moderate income housing units for families and seniors and to promote homeownership over the coming years.

### **Section 8 Family Self-Sufficiency Efforts**

HACLV continues to develop programs and partnerships to foster self-sufficiency opportunities for the participants. These activities include enrollment of 100 percent (%) of the 121 mandatory FSS placements.

### **Public Housing Self-Sufficiency Efforts**

The Public Housing Family Self-Sufficiency program is currently serving 187 residents.

On Site Family Resource Center located at 609 Wardell is a one stop shop providing residents residing in public housing the following services; Adult Education, Life skills, Youth tutorial, English as a Second Language and computer classes.

Resident Opportunity Self Sufficiency Empowerment (R.O.S.E.) provides resources and funding to enable the residents of the Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas to develop and achieve their full potential in order to become self sufficient.

Other efforts include receiving a HUD funded grant for the position of Project Coordinator, a 3 year grant, totaling \$350,000.

HACLV has developed Memorandum of Understandings (MOUs) with the following service providers to enhance availability of services:

- Hispanic Association for Bilingual Literacy and Education (HABLE )
- Frontier Girl Scouts
- East Valley Family Services
- Sunrise Children's Foundation - Home Instruction Program for Pre-school Youngsters (HIPPY)
- Nevada Association of Latin Americans (NALA)
- Clark County School Department of Education GED Classes
- Nevada State College SMART Program
- Iris Beauty Salon for James Down Towers
- Yulandar Manor Salon for Levy Gardens
- Arturo Cambiero Senior Center
- Housing for Nevada
- Women Development Center
- Consumer Credit Counseling

## **Barriers to Affordable Housing**

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to eliminate barriers to affordable housing.

Program Year 3 CAPER Barriers to Affordable Housing response:

### **1. Actions Taken to Eliminate Barriers to Affordable Housing**

The actions taken by the City during the last year to eliminate barriers to affordable housing include:

- Assisted affordable housing developers with the development process and how to obtain project building permits in a timely manner
- Continued to participate in the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC) workforce housing and homeless committee meetings
- Continued to fund and partner with community non-profit and for-profit housing developers
- Continued to partner with the Clark County School District (CCSD) through the Homeownership for Educators program
- Coordinated affordable housing projects with the City of North Las Vegas, Clark County Consortium and the State of Nevada
- Working to establish a Community Land Trust for affordable housing
- Researching for land that is available and suitable for the development of housing for persons with disabilities

## **HOME/ American Dream Down Payment Initiative (ADDI)**

1. Assessment of Relationship of HOME Funds to Goals and Objectives
  - a. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using HOME funds, including the number and types of households served.

2. HOME Match Report
  - a. Use HOME Match Report HUD-40107-A to report on match contributions for the period covered by the Consolidated Plan program year.
  
3. HOME MBE and WBE Report
  - a. Use Part III of HUD Form 40107 to report contracts and subcontracts with Minority Business Enterprises (MBEs) and Women's Business Enterprises (WBEs).
  
4. Assessments
  - a. Detail results of on-site inspections of rental housing.
  - b. Describe the HOME jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions.
  - c. Describe outreach to minority and women owned businesses.

Program Year 3 CAPER HOME/ADDI response:

### 1. HOME Goals and Objectives

The following table indicates the HOME Construction projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year. Table 6 below includes the housing activity, type of households, goal for the number of affordable housing units, actual accomplishment for the number of affordable housing units, and amount expended. According to Table 6, the City expended \$1,627,782 in prior and current program year HOME funds. The City exceeded its goal of 281 affordable housing units by producing 343 affordable housing units for low and moderate-income residents.

**Table 6 – City of Las Vegas HOME Projects Summary**

Activity	Type	Goal	Actual	Amount
CLV Administration – HOME	n/a	1	1	\$92,370
CLV Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Program	Below 60% of AMI	7	9	\$20,000
CDPCN – Senator Richard Bryan II	Below 60% of AMI	120	120	\$246,198
Salvation Army – Horizon Crest	Below 60% of AMI	78	78	\$0
Total		281	343	\$736,502

### 2. HOME Match Report

Attached.

### 3. HOME MBE and WBE Report

Attached.

#### **4. HOME Assessments**

For on-site inspections, all rental housing projects that were completed in the last program year were inspected and meet all local, state, and federal standards. All tenant-based rental assistance projects, meet or exceed HUD's Housing Quality Standards.

The City markets its single-family rehabilitation program to all eligible persons. The developers that receive HOME funding from the City market their projects and programs to all HOME-eligible persons. Some rental affordable housing projects are designated for seniors and the developers market their housing projects only to eligible seniors.

The City has provided HOME grant funding to both MBE and WBE developers. The MBE developer constructed an affordable housing project for seniors. The WBE developer provided down-payment and closing cost assistance to eligible homebuyers.

## **HOMELESS**

### **Homeless Needs**

\*Please also refer to the Homeless Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Identify actions taken to address needs of homeless persons.
2. Identify actions to help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living.
3. Identify new Federal resources obtained from Homeless SuperNOFA.

Program Year 3 CAPER Homeless Needs response:

#### **1. Actions Taken to Address Homeless Needs**

The City of Las Vegas is collaborating with Clark County, City of North Las Vegas, and City of Henderson to address Homeless Needs through the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition Committee on the Homeless. The Committee oversees regional homeless activities. They coordinate housing and service programs, assess current needs and gaps in service to the homeless, works with the United Way to locate access dollars to fund projects and services, coordinates case management services with southern Nevada Mental Health, Clark County and non-profit groups, and, identifies and applies for competitive homeless-related federal, state and local grants.

The City completed the *Homes for Homeless Nevadans 10-Year Plan to Reduce Homelessness* in the City of Las Vegas. The Homeless Strategic Plan outlines the City's 10-Year Plan strategies, action steps, and outcomes for reducing and ending

homelessness. For a copy of the City's 10-Year Plan to Reduce Homelessness please refer to the following website at <http://www.lasvegasnevada.gov/files/Homes4HomelessNevadansPlan.pdf>.

The Continuum of Care (COC) utilized provided HUD funds that were used to provide housing and supportive services for homeless individuals and families in the Las Vegas region. Clark County administers the COC funds for the Las Vegas region, for more information please refer to the following website at [http://www.co.clark.nv.us/social\\_service/homeless\\_default.htm](http://www.co.clark.nv.us/social_service/homeless_default.htm).

ESG Projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year to address homeless needs include:

- Catholic Charities – Dining Facility - \$26,536
- Catholic Charities – Employment Services - \$24,515
- Catholic Charities – Homeless to Home - TBRA - \$15,090
- Center for Independent Living – Teen Housing - \$20,000
- HELP of Southern Nevada – A New Path - \$17,955
- HELP of Southern Nevada – Emergency Resource Services - \$23,000
- Key Foundation - \$10,000
- Lutheran Social Services – Emergency Resources - \$10,996
- Salvation Army – Shelter Security - \$26,536
- SAFE House – Emergency Shelter - \$15,000
- The Shade Tree – Emergency Shelter - \$26,536
- Women's Development Center – Transitional Housing - \$17,200

CDBG Projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year to address homeless needs include:

- Catholic Charities – Public Restrooms for Homeless - \$37,473
- Catholic Charities – St. Vincent Dining Facility - \$24,000
- Center for Independent Living – Vocational Training and Substance Abuse Counseling - \$10,000
- Family Promise - Emergency Shelter - \$10,057
- Key Foundation – Jobs for Veterans - \$12,600
- Lutheran Social Services – Emergency Shelter Services - \$19,851
- SAFE House – Emergency Hotline Project - \$25,000
- Salvation Army – Vocational Training - \$13,891
- Women's Development Center – Transitional Housing - \$16,960

HOME/Low-Income Housing Trust Fund (LIHTF) Projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year to address homeless needs include:

- Catholic Charities – Homeless to Home - \$208,179
- CLV EVOLVE Program - \$15,501
- HELP of Southern Nevada – A New Path - \$271,005
- HELP at Owens – Construction of Permanent Housing for Homeless with Veterans Preference - \$312,240

## **2. Actions Taken to Transition Homeless to Permanent Housing**

Projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year to transition homeless persons to permanent housing include:

- Catholic Charities – Homeless to Home - \$73,018 (HOME)
- CLV EVOLVE Program - \$162,435 (HOME)
- HELP of Southern Nevada – A New Path - \$136,003 (HOME)
- HELP at Owens – Construction of Permanent Housing for Homeless with Veterans Preference - \$312,240 (HOME)
- Women’s Development Center – Transitional Housing - \$16,960 (CDBG)
- Women’s Development Center – Transitional Housing - \$17,200 (ESG)

## **3. New Federal Resources Obtained**

HUD 2008 Continuum of Care funding was obtained by Clark County to provide housing and services for the homeless individuals and families in the Las Vegas region. Clark County administers the COC funds for the Las Vegas region, for more information about the projects that were funded please refer to the following website at [http://www.co.clark.nv.us/social\\_service/homeless\\_default.htm](http://www.co.clark.nv.us/social_service/homeless_default.htm).

### **Specific Homeless Prevention Elements**

1. Identify actions taken to prevent homelessness.

Program Year 3 CAPER Specific Housing Prevention Elements response:

#### **1. Actions Taken to Prevent Homelessness**

ESG Projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year to prevent homelessness include:

- Catholic Charities – Dining Facility - \$26,536
- Catholic Charities – Employment Services - \$24,515
- Center for Independent Living – Teen Housing - \$20,000
- HELP of Southern Nevada – Emergency Resource Services - \$23,000
- Key Foundation Jobs for Veterans - \$10,000
- Lutheran Social Services – Emergency Resources - \$10,996
- Salvation Army – Shelter Security - \$26,536
- SAFE House – Emergency Shelter - \$15,000
- The Shade Tree – Emergency Shelter - \$26,536
- Women’s Development Center – Transitional Housing - \$17,200

CDBG Projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year to prevent homelessness include:

- Catholic Charities – Public Restrooms for Homeless - \$37,473
- Catholic Charities – St. Vincent HELP - \$15,000
- Center for Independent Living – Vocational Training and Substance Abuse Counseling - \$10,000
- Family Promise - Emergency Shelter - \$10,057

- Key Foundation – Jobs for Veterans - \$12,600
- Lutheran Social Services – Emergency Shelter Services - \$19,851
- SAFE House – Emergency Hotline Project - \$25,000
- Salvation Army – Vocational Training - \$13,891
- Women’s Development Center – Transitional Housing - \$16,960

HOME/Low-Income Housing Trust Fund (LIHTF) Projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year to prevent homelessness include:

- Catholic Charities – Homeless to Home - \$208,179 (HOME)
- CLV EVOLVE Program - \$15,501 (HOME)
- HELP at Owens – Construction of Permanent Housing for Homeless with Veterans Preference - \$1,300,000 (HOME)
- Women’s Development Center – Transitional Housing - \$15,000 (CDBG)
- Women’s Development Center – Transitional Housing - \$11,895 (ESG)

HOPWA Projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year to prevent homelessness include:

- Aid for AIDS of Nevada (AFAN) – Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance - \$211,175
- Golden Rainbow - Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance - \$5,305
- HELP of Southern Nevada – Emergency Resource Services - \$168,310
- Nevada Association of Latin Americans (NALA) - Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance - \$10,210

### **Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)**

1. Identify actions to address emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families (including significant subpopulations such as those living on the streets).
2. Assessment of Relationship of ESG Funds to Goals and Objectives
  - a. Evaluate progress made in using ESG funds to address homeless and homeless prevention needs, goals, and specific objectives established in the Consolidated Plan.
  - b. Detail how ESG projects are related to implementation of comprehensive homeless planning strategy, including the number and types of individuals and persons in households served with ESG funds.
3. Matching Resources
  - a. Provide specific sources and amounts of new funding used to meet match as required by 42 USC 11375(a)(1), including cash resources, grants, and staff salaries, as well as in-kind contributions such as the value of a building or lease, donated materials, or volunteer time.
4. State Method of Distribution
  - a. States must describe their method of distribution and how it rated and selected its local government agencies and private nonprofit organizations acting as subrecipients.
5. Activity and Beneficiary Data
  - a. Completion of attached Emergency Shelter Grant Program Performance Chart or other reports showing ESGP expenditures by type of activity. Also describe any problems in collecting, reporting, and evaluating the reliability of this information.

- b. Homeless Discharge Coordination
  - i. As part of the government developing and implementing a homeless discharge coordination policy, ESG homeless prevention funds may be used to assist very-low income individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless after being released from publicly funded institutions such as health care facilities, foster care or other youth facilities, or corrections institutions or programs.
- c. Explain how your government is instituting a homeless discharge coordination policy, and how ESG homeless prevention funds are being used in this effort.

Program Year 3 CAPER ESG response:

## 1. Actions to Address Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing

ESG Projects that were completed during the 2008-2009 program year to prevent homelessness include:

- Catholic Charities – Dining Facility - \$26,536
- Catholic Charities – Employment Services - \$24,515
- Center for Independent Living – Teen Housing - \$20,000
- HELP of Southern Nevada – Emergency Resource Services - \$23,000
- Key Foundation Jobs for Veterans - \$10,000
- Lutheran Social Services – Emergency Resources - \$10,996
- Salvation Army – Shelter Security - \$26,536
- SAFE House – Emergency Shelter - \$15,000
- The Shade Tree – Emergency Shelter - \$26,536
- Women’s Development Center – Transitional Housing - \$17,200

## 2. Assessment of Relationship of ESG Funds to Goals and Objectives

### a. Progress Made

The majority of ESG-funded projects exceeded their goals for serving homeless persons. Overall, the ESG program served a total of 581,212 homeless persons exceeding its goal of serving 577,517 homeless persons. Table 7 below indicates the goal and actual accomplishment, which include the number of homeless persons assisted, each ESG-funded project for the 2008-2009 program year.

**Table 7 – ESG Projects**

<b>ESG Project</b>	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Actual</b>
Catholic Charities – Employment Services	800	1,82
Catholic Charities – Dining Facility	165,000	577,182
Center for Independent Living – Teen Housing	30	58
Family Promise Emergency Shelter	50	129
HELP of Southern Nev. – Emergency Resource Services	86	47
Key Foundation – Jobs for Veterans	250	672
Lutheran Social Services – Emergency Resources	300	734
SAFE House – Emergency Shelter	25	364
Salvation Army – Homeless Services	4,000	4,216
The Shade Tree – Emergency Shelter	3,000	3,071
Women’s Development Center – Transitional Housing	55	124
<b>Total</b>	<b>173,596</b>	<b>586,680</b>

**b. ESG Projects Relationship to Homeless Planning Strategy**

ESG activities included social services, operating costs for homeless shelters, employment services, food pantry, outreach, transitional housing, health care, mental health services, alcohol/drug problem services, homeless prevention, drop-in shelters, and soup kitchen meals. These activities contribute to the housing and services provided for the homeless by other funding sources such as the Continuum of Care, CDBG, HOPWA, and HOME funds. The number and types of individuals and persons in households served with ESG funds is described in Table 7.

**3. ESG Matching Resources**

For the 2008-2009 program year, the ESG program leveraged a total of \$6,966,733 in matching private sector, local government, agency, and other Federal funds.

Table 8 below indicates the matching resources each ESG-funded project for the 2008-2009 program year.

**Table 8 – ESG Matching Resources**

<b>Project</b>	<b>ESG Expenditures</b>	<b>Other Funding Amount</b>	<b>Funding Sources</b>
Catholic Charities – Employment Services	\$24,515	\$20,000	Other Federal
		\$41,000	Private
Catholic Charities – Dining Facility	\$20,000	\$231,000	Other Federal
		\$25,000	Private
Center for Independent Living – Teen Housing	\$20,000	\$66,500	Local Government
		\$10,000	Private
		\$10,000	Other
Family Promise – Emergency Shelter	\$11,500	\$25,000	Other Federal
		\$30,000	Private
		\$228,125	Other
HELP of Southern Nevada – Utility Assistance	\$23,000	\$594,924	Local Government
		\$146,500	Private
Key Foundation – Jobs for Veterans	\$10,000	\$68,200	Other
Lutheran Social Services – Emergency Resources	\$10,966	\$191,030	Other Federal
		\$72,500	Local Government
		\$341,266	Private
SAFE House – Emergency Shelter	\$15,000	\$40,000	Other Federal
		\$86,630	Local Government
		\$47,950	Private
Salvation Army – Homeless Services	\$20,000	\$82,997	Other Federal
		\$565,826	Local Government
		\$1,261,448	Private
The Shade Tree – Emergency Shelter	\$20,000	\$600,000	Other Federal
		\$153,000	Local Government

		\$2,503,870	Private
Women's Development Center – Transitional Housing	\$17,200	\$306,573	Other Federal
		\$78,000	Local Government
		\$76,568	Private

**4. State Method of Distribution**

Not applicable.

**5. Activity and Beneficiary Data**

ESG performance data is shown in Table 7. ESG activities included social services, employment services, food pantry, outreach, transitional housing, health care, mental health services, homeless prevention, drop-in shelters, and soup kitchen meals. A high number of clients are shown under the dining facility activity, this is because the total number of clients that received meals at the dining facility are included.

The City of Las Vegas continued to work with Clark County and the State of Nevada on the community-wide Discharge Coordination Policy. Please refer to the City's 10-Year Plan which is available in print form at the Neighborhood Services Department. For regional discharge coordination policy information, please refer to the COC Plan which is available online at [http://www.co.clark.nv.us/social\\_service/homeless\\_default.htm](http://www.co.clark.nv.us/social_service/homeless_default.htm). For the 2008-2009 program year, the City did not use ESG funds for homeless discharge coordination activities.

In FY 2008-207, the City chose to focus its ESG on shelter operations in order to make a greater impact on the homeless condition.

**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

**Community Development**

\*Please also refer to the Community Development Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Assessment of Relationship of CDBG Funds to Goals and Objectives
  - a. Assess use of CDBG funds in relation to the priorities, needs, goals, and specific objectives in the Consolidated Plan, particularly the highest priority activities.
  - b. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using CDBG funds, including the number and types of households served.
  - c. Indicate the extent to which CDBG funds were used for activities that benefited extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons.
2. Changes in Program Objectives
  - a. Identify the nature of and the reasons for any changes in program objectives and how the jurisdiction would change its program as a result of its experiences.
3. Assessment of Efforts in Carrying Out Planned Actions
  - a. Indicate how grantee pursued all resources indicated in the Consolidated Plan.
  - b. Indicate how grantee provided certifications of consistency in a fair and impartial manner.

- c. Indicate how grantee did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction.
4. For Funds Not Used for National Objectives
    - a. Indicate how use of CDBG funds did not meet national objectives.
    - b. Indicate how did not comply with overall benefit certification.
  5. Anti-displacement and Relocation – for activities that involve acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition of occupied real property
    - a. Describe steps actually taken to minimize the amount of displacement resulting from the CDBG-assisted activities.
    - b. Describe steps taken to identify households, businesses, farms or nonprofit organizations who occupied properties subject to the Uniform Relocation Act or Section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and whether or not they were displaced, and the nature of their needs and preferences.
    - c. Describe steps taken to ensure the timely issuance of information notices to displaced households, businesses, farms, or nonprofit organizations.
  6. Low/Mod Job Activities – for economic development activities undertaken where jobs were made available but not taken by low- or moderate-income persons
    - a. Describe actions taken by grantee and businesses to ensure first consideration was or will be given to low/mod persons.
    - b. List by job title of all the permanent jobs created/retained and those that were made available to low/mod persons.
    - c. If any of jobs claimed as being available to low/mod persons require special skill, work experience, or education, provide a description of steps being taken or that will be taken to provide such skills, experience, or education.
  7. Low/Mod Limited Clientele Activities – for activities not falling within one of the categories of presumed limited clientele low and moderate income benefit
    - a. Describe how the nature, location, or other information demonstrates the activities benefit a limited clientele at least 51% of whom are low- and moderate-income.
  8. Program income received
    - a. Detail the amount of program income reported that was returned to each individual revolving fund, e.g., housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other type of revolving fund.
    - b. Detail the amount repaid on each float-funded activity.
    - c. Detail all other loan repayments broken down by the categories of housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other.
    - d. Detail the amount of income received from the sale of property by parcel.
  9. Prior period adjustments – where reimbursement was made this reporting period for expenditures (made in previous reporting periods) that have been disallowed, provide the following information:
    - a. The activity name and number as shown in IDIS;
    - b. The program year(s) in which the expenditure(s) for the disallowed activity(ies) was reported;
    - c. The amount returned to line-of-credit or program account; and
    - d. Total amount to be reimbursed and the time period over which the reimbursement is to be made, if the reimbursement is made with multi-year payments.
  10. Loans and other receivables
    - a. List the principal balance for each float-funded activity outstanding as of the end of the reporting period and the date(s) by which the funds are expected to be received.

- b. List the total number of other loans outstanding and the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period.
  - c. List separately the total number of outstanding loans that are deferred or forgivable, the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period, and the terms of the deferral or forgiveness.
  - d. Detail the total number and amount of loans made with CDBG funds that have gone into default and for which the balance was forgiven or written off during the reporting period.
  - e. Provide a List of the parcels of property owned by the grantee or its subrecipients that have been acquired or improved using CDBG funds and that are available for sale as of the end of the reporting period.
11. Lump sum agreements
- a. Provide the name of the financial institution.
  - b. Provide the date the funds were deposited.
  - c. Provide the date the use of funds commenced.
  - d. Provide the percentage of funds disbursed within 180 days of deposit in the institution.
12. Housing Rehabilitation – for each type of rehabilitation program for which projects/units were reported as completed during the program year
- a. Identify the type of program and number of projects/units completed for each program.
  - b. Provide the total CDBG funds involved in the program.
  - c. Detail other public and private funds involved in the project.
13. Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies – for grantees that have HUD-approved neighborhood revitalization strategies
- a. Describe progress against benchmarks for the program year. For grantees with Federally-designated EZs or ECs that received HUD approval for a neighborhood revitalization strategy, reports that are required as part of the EZ/EC process shall suffice for purposes of reporting progress.

Program Year 3 CAPER Community Development response:

### 1. Assessment of CDBG Goals and Objectives

Table 9 below is a summary of the goals and actual accomplishments, which includes the number of housing units, public facilities/improvements, and persons assisted, and expenditures for all CDBG projects for the 2008-2009 program year.

**Table 9 – 2008-2009 CDBG Accomplishments Summary**

<b>CDBG Needs</b>	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Expenditures</b>
Acquisition/Property Related	1	1	\$1,074
Housing	1	3	\$391,050
Public Facilities/Improvements	6	7	\$3,567,715
Public Services	45,670	235,639	\$814,361

Source: PR 03 IDIS Report – CDBG Activity Summary Report for 2008-2009 Program Year

For the 2008-2009 program year, the City’s CDBG program met or exceeded its goals for acquisition, housing, public facilities/improvements, and public services.

Table 10 below indicates the goals and actual accomplishments, which includes the number of housing units, public facilities, improvements, and persons assisted, and expenditures for all of the CDBG projects for the 2008-2009 program year. The

table includes the CDBG category and the CDBG activities that were undertaken for the 2008-2009 program year.

**Table 10 – 2008-2009 CDBG Activities**

<b>CDBG Activities</b>	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Expenditures</b>
<b>03 - Public Facilities/Improvements (General)</b>			
REHAB FOR 320 SOUTH 9TH-FAMILY PROMISE	1	1	\$101,757.00
Stupak Community Center Bond Payment	1	0	\$1,518,026.00
<b>05 – Public Services (General)</b>			
A LIFT UP - FURNITURE BANK			\$10,000
ACADEMICS ATHLETICS CONNECTION/LV STARS			\$12,000
BLIND CENTER OF NEVADA - BACK TO WORK			\$35,000
BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB - PROJECT LEARN			\$10,000
CATHOLIC CHARITIES - PUBLIC RESTROOMS			\$37,473
CATHOLIC CHARITIES - ST. VINCENT HELP			\$15,000
FAMILY PROMISE - GUIDES BY THEIR SIDES			\$10,057
GIVE ME A BREAK - COMMUNITY RESPITE			\$25,000
KEY FOUNDATION - JOBS FOR VETERANS			\$12,600
LAS VEGAS INDIAN CENTER - SOCIAL SERVICE			\$10,000
LUTHERAN SOCIAL SERVICES -EMERGENCY FOOD			\$19,851
SAFE HOUSE - CASE MANAGEMENT			\$25,000
SALVATION ARMY - VOCATIONAL TRAINING			\$13,891
SO NV PUBLIC TV-FRIENDS OF CHANNEL 10			\$10,000
TEMPLE BETH AM - LIED ADULT DAY CARE			\$10,000
THE SHADE TREE-SUBSTANCE ABUSE ADDICTION			\$10,000
WOMEN'S DEVELOPMENT-TRANSITIONAL HOUSING			\$11,518
<b>05A – Senior Services</b>			
JAMES SEASTRAND - MINOR HOME REPAIRS	30	130	\$10,000
HELPING HANDS -SENIOR TRANSPORTATION			\$25,000
JUDE 22 - SENIOR NUTRITION CENTER			\$15,000
<b>05D – Youth Services</b>			
CLARK COUNTY BAR - TRIAL BY PEER			\$18,000
CLASSROOM ON WHEELS - COW PRE-K			\$31,548
CLV SAFEKEY -SCHOOL PROGRAMS			\$52,454
CLV YOUTH PROGRAMS			\$98,607
GIRL SCOUTS-ACHIEVING THE SKILL FOR LIFE			\$11,661
HELP-SO NV-VOCATIONAL & SUBSTANCE ABUSE			\$10,000
LV NATURAL HISTORY MUSEUM-EXPLORE			\$4,620

PROGRAM			
NALA - CHILDCARE / PRESCHOOL			\$20,000
SUNRISE CHILDREN'S FOUNDATION - HIPPIY			\$26,834
UNITED STATES YOUTH SOCCER NV-HAT TRICK			\$6,550
VARIETY DAY HOME - AFFORDABLE CHILD CARE			\$40,000
<b>05F – Substance Abuse Services</b>			
COMMUNITY COUNSELING CENTER-LIFE SKILLS			\$10,000
Rebuilding Together – Christmas in April	25	17	\$103,177
<b>14A – Rehab, Single Family</b>			
CLV - SFR / MOBILE EMERGENCY	1	1	\$175,814
REBUILDING TOGETHER- HOUSING REHAB			\$123,801

Source: PR 03 IDIS Report – CDBG Activity Summary Report for 2008-2009 Program Year.

## 2. Changes in Program Objectives

There are no changes in program objectives at this time.

## 3. Efforts in Carrying Out Planned Actions

For the 2008-2009 program year, federal funds (CDBG, ESG, HOME, and HOPWA), included in the City's Consolidated Plan, used by the City for housing and community development projects and programs leveraged funding from the following private and non-federal public resources:

- City of Las Vegas (CDBG, ESG, HOME, HOPWA)
- City of North Las Vegas (CDBG)
- Clark County (CDBG, ESG, HOME, HOPWA)
- Foundations (CDBG, ESG, HOME, HOPWA)
- General Obligation Bond (CDBG)
- Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (HOME)
- Low-Income Housing Trust Fund (HOME)
- Private Activity Bonds (HOME)
- Private Contributions (CDBG, ESG, HOME, HOPWA)
- Redevelopment Agency 18 Percent (%) Set-Aside for Affordable Housing (HOME)
- State of Nevada (CDBG, ESG, HOME, LIHTF)

Each applicant that applied for a certification of consistency with the City's Consolidated Plan received their certification letter in a fair, impartial, and timely manner. The City did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction. The City has a fair and open process for drafting and implementing the Action Plan and Consolidated Plan.

Title III of Division B of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act, 2008 (HERA) appropriated \$3.92 billion nation-wide for emergency assistance for redevelopment of abandoned and foreclosed homes and residential properties. This grant program is

referred to as the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP1). Title XII of Division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 appropriated \$1 billion to cities across the nation. The city of Las Vegas' portion includes more than \$24 million dollars in allocations to be used for the following purposes:

- Neighborhood Stabilization (NSP 1) \$20.6 M
- Construction (CDBG-R) \$ 1.3 M
- Homeless Funding (HPRP) \$ 2.1 M

The City successfully applied for and received the NSP1, CDBG-R and HPRP entitlement funds from these two stimulus plans.

NSP1 The city will use these funds for three programs: Homebuyer Assistance Program (HAP), Lease to Own (LTO) Program and Scattered Site (SS) Program. The SS Program will provide rental housing to households at 50% and below Area Median Income (AMI). The HAP and LTO will provide housing to households at 120% and below AMI.

HAP: The city worked in conjunction with Clark County, North Las Vegas, and Henderson to issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) to select subrecipients to operate this program. The successful respondents were: Consumer Credit Counseling Services, Nevada Housing Services, Housing for Nevada, and Nevada Partners. The governmental entities are in the process of negotiating fees and working on agreements with the respondents.

LTO and SS – The city has issued RFP's and Requests for Qualifications (RFQ's) for property management of the properties purchased, Brokers, and Appraisers. The successful Property Management respondents have been selected and staff is in the process of agreement approval with the City Attorney's office. Staff has made recommendations to Management regarding the Brokers and Appraisers and is working on a boilerplate agreement for those services. Additionally, a letter of interest seeking General Contractors with B State Licenses to create a bidding list for properties bought by the city of Las Vegas requiring rehabilitation. To date, 35 contractors have requested to be added to that list.

CDBG-R – This funding will be utilized through the Public Works Department. Staff is working with PW to have a Memorandum of Understanding in place between the two Departments to move forward with the Bike Lane and Solar-panel operated carports approved for the funding.

HPRP – This program will be administered through the Evolve Program, with the Neighborhood Development Division overseeing payment requests and ensuring compliance with program regulations. The program requires three subgrantees in addition to the Evolve Staff, and the grant requires the agreements with any subgrantees must be executed by September 30, 2009. Neighborhood Initiatives, with the assistance of Purchasing and Contracts has already issued one Request for Proposal for housing stabilization services through the provision of community-based services which includes case management, data collection, reporting and coordination with Medicaid. Applications have been received and the successful respondent will be selected August 26, 2009.

#### 4. Funds Not Used for National Objectives

Not Applicable

#### 5. Anti-Displacement and Relocation

Not Applicable

#### 6. Low/Mod Job Activities

Not Applicable.

#### 7. Low/Mod Limited Clientele Activities

All of the City's CDBG Public Services programs meet the presumed limited clientele low and moderate-income benefit criteria. Subrecipients receiving CDBG public services funds are required in their CDBG Public Services Agreements to have their clients complete household income certifications. Each program participant must complete a client certification of household income report. This report includes household size, household income, race, and ethnicity. Each program participant must present income documentation. This includes photo identification, monthly income statements, payroll stubs, income tax statements, public assistance statements, and other income documents.

#### 8. Program Income Received

Repayment of loans and rental income made up the majority of CDBG and HOME program income received for the 2008-2009 program year. The total amount of CDBG program income received for the 2008-2009 program year was \$372,537.96. The total amount of HOME program income received for the 2008-2009 program year was \$63,647.36. Table 11 below indicates the CDBG and HOME projects and the amount of program income received.

**Table 11 – Program Income Received**

<b>CDBG Projects</b>		<b>Amount</b>
Nucleus Plaza Rental		\$372,537.96
<b>Total</b>		\$372,537.96
<b>HOME Projects</b>		<b>Amount</b>
Home Single Family Rehab		\$63,647.36
<b>Total</b>		\$63,647.36

#### 9. Prior Period Adjustments

Not Applicable.

#### 10. Loans and Other Receivables

Not Applicable.

## 11. Lump Sum Agreements

Not Applicable.

## 12. Housing Rehabilitation

The City's overall housing rehabilitation proposed goal was the rehabilitation of 101 affordable housing units. The City's actual accomplishment was the rehabilitation of 189 affordable housing units. The City exceeded its housing rehabilitation goal for the 2008-2009 program year.

For the City's HOME-funded Single Family Housing Rehabilitation program, 7 affordable housing units were rehabilitated, and an additional 8 affordable housing units are underway. The housing rehabilitation programs had \$162,359 in expenditures with 2008-2009 HOME funds.

Table 12 below indicates the CDBG Housing Rehabilitation activities for the 2008-2009 program year. The proposed goal, actual accomplishment, and expenditures are included for each CDBG funded housing rehabilitation activity.

**Table 12 – Housing Rehabilitation Activities**

<b>CDBG Program Activities</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<b>14A – Rehab; Single-Unit Residential</b>				
CLV Housing Rehab – Mobile Home and Emergency Repair	Below 30% of AMI	1	1	\$167,566
	30-50% of AMI	2	3	\$125,000
	50-80% of AMI	1	1	\$10,000
Rebuilding Together – Christmas in April	Special Needs - Seniors	28	62	
	Special Needs - Seniors	30	130	
James Seastrand Helping Hands – Minor Home Repairs				
CLV Single Family Housing Rehabilitation	80% of AMI and below	7	8	\$237,741
Total		69	205	\$540,307
<b>21A – General Program Administration</b>				
Housing Rehabilitation Administration	n/a	1	1	\$23,190
Total		1	1	\$23,190

## 13. Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies

Not Applicable.

## Antipoverty Strategy

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level.

Program Year 3 CAPER Antipoverty Strategy response:

### 1. Actions Taken to Reduce the Number of Persons Living Below the Poverty Level

The City completed several projects and programs that helped to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level. The City's CDBG, ESG, HOME, and HOPWA programs help to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level and improve the overall quality of life for the City's residents. These projects and programs include affordable childcare, case management, emergency resources, employment services, ESL programs, homeless services, homeless shelter, housing rehabilitation, minor home repairs, preschool, senior services, short-term housing assistance, and transitional housing. The following actions were taken during the 2008-2009 program year to help reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level:

- Aids for AIDS of Nevada (AFAN) – Short-Term Housing Assistance
- Blind Center of Nevada – Job Training
- Catholic Charities – Homeless and Employment Services
- Catholic Charities – Homeless to Home – TBRA Program
- Center for Independent Living – Homeless Youth Services and Housing
- CLV Housing Rehab – Mobile Home and Emergency Repair
- Family Promise - Building Communities, Strengthening Lives - Emergency Shelter
- HELP of Southern Nevada – Emergency Resource Services
- Helping Hands of Vegas Valley – Senior Transportation
- James Seastrand Helping Hands – Minor Home Repairs
- Jude 22 – Food Home Delivery for Seniors
- Key Foundation - Jobs for Veterans
- Lutheran Social Services – Homeless Assistance
- Nevada Association of Latin Americans (NALA) – Affordable Childcare and Short-Term Housing Assistance
- Nevada Health Centers - Bridger Family Health Center – Senior Services
- Rebuilding Together with Christmas in April – Housing Rehabilitation
- SAFE House - Emergency Shelter
- Salvation Army – Homeless Services and Shelter
- The Shade Tree – Emergency Shelter
- Variety Day Home – Affordable Childcare
- Westcare Nevada – Homeless Youth Housing
- Women's Development Center – Transitional Housing, Permanent Housing

## NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS

### Non-homeless Special Needs

\*Please also refer to the Non-homeless Special Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Identify actions taken to address special needs of persons that are not homeless but require supportive housing, (including persons with HIV/AIDS and their families).

Program Year 3 CAPER Non-homeless Special Needs response:

#### 1. Actions Taken to Address Persons with Special Needs

The special needs population includes elderly and frail elderly, persons with disabilities, persons with alcohol and other addictions, persons diagnosed with HIV/AIDS, and public housing residents. The City completed the rehabilitation of 211 homes for the elderly. The City contributed funding to the Blind Center of Nevada who provides job training for the disabled. The City contributed funds for rehabilitation work on Opportunity Village's building that provide services, including job training for the disabled.

More special needs housing units are needed, as indicated in the Consolidated Plan, especially for persons with disabilities, persons with alcohol and other addictions, and public housing residents. The City is in the process of searching for land that is available and suitable for the development of housing for persons with disabilities. During the last program year, the City met with representatives of a national non-profit developer of housing for persons with disabilities to discuss project feasibility and requirements. Once the land is acquired by the City for this purpose, the City plans to issue a Request for Proposals (RFP) for the construction of a housing development for persons with disabilities.

During the 2008-2009 program year, the City provided services to persons with special needs through several programs and projects. These services include case management, disabled services, emergency resources, employment training, food programs, HIV/AIDS supportive services, mental health services, respite care, senior services, substance abuse treatment, and transportation services. More special needs services are needed, as mentioned in the Consolidated Plan, especially for persons with disabilities, persons with alcohol and other addictions, and public housing residents. The following actions were taken during the 2008-2009 program year to help provide housing and services to persons with special needs:

- Aids for AIDS of Nevada (AFAN) – Short-Term Housing Assistance, HIV/AIDS Services
- Blind Center of Nevada – Rehabilitation of Center for Disabled Persons, Job Training
- Caminar – STRMU
- Community Counseling Center – Dual Addictions Counseling for HIV/AIDS
- Doolittle Senior Center – Rehabilitation of Senior Center
- Downtown Senior Services Center – Rehabilitation of Senior Services Center

- Give Me a Break – Respite Care Services
- Golden Rainbow - Housing Assistance, HIV/AIDS Services
- HELP of Southern Nevada – Emergency Resource Services
- Helping Hands of Vegas Valley – Senior Transportation
- James Seastrand Helping Hands – Minor Home Repairs
- Jude 22 – Food for Seniors
- NALA – Short-Term Housing Assistance, HIV/AIDS Services
- Opportunity Village – Rehabilitation of Center for Disabled Persons
- Rebuilding Together with Christmas in April – Housing Rehabilitation
- Women’s Development Center - HIV/AIDS Housing Assistance

### **Specific HOPWA Objectives**

\*Please also refer to the HOPWA Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Overall Assessment of Relationship of HOPWA Funds to Goals and Objectives  
Grantees should demonstrate through the CAPER and related IDIS reports the progress they are making at accomplishing identified goals and objectives with HOPWA funding. Grantees should demonstrate:
  - a. That progress is being made toward meeting the HOPWA goal for providing affordable housing using HOPWA funds and other resources for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families through a comprehensive community plan;
  - b. That community-wide HIV/AIDS housing strategies are meeting HUD’s national goal of increasing the availability of decent, safe, and affordable housing for low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS;
  - c. That community partnerships between State and local governments and community-based non-profits are creating models and innovative strategies to serve the housing and related supportive service needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families;
  - d. That through community-wide strategies Federal, State, local, and other resources are matched with HOPWA funding to create comprehensive housing strategies;
  - e. That community strategies produce and support actual units of housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS; and finally,
  - f. That community strategies identify and supply related supportive services in conjunction with housing to ensure the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families are met.
  
2. This should be accomplished by providing an executive summary (1-5 pages) that includes:
  - a. Grantee Narrative
    - i. Grantee and Community Overview
      - (1) A brief description of your organization, the area of service, the name of each project sponsor and a broad overview of the range/type of housing activities and related services
      - (2) How grant management oversight of project sponsor activities is conducted and how project sponsors are selected
      - (3) A description of the local jurisdiction, its need, and the estimated number of persons living with HIV/AIDS

- (4) A brief description of the planning and public consultations involved in the use of HOPWA funds including reference to any appropriate planning document or advisory body
  - (5) What other resources were used in conjunction with HOPWA funded activities, including cash resources and in-kind contributions, such as the value of services or materials provided by volunteers or by other individuals or organizations
  - (6) Collaborative efforts with related programs including coordination and planning with clients, advocates, Ryan White CARE Act planning bodies, AIDS Drug Assistance Programs, homeless assistance programs, or other efforts that assist persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.
- ii. Project Accomplishment Overview
    - (1) A brief summary of all housing activities broken down by three types: emergency or short-term rent, mortgage or utility payments to prevent homelessness; rental assistance; facility based housing, including development cost, operating cost for those facilities and community residences
    - (2) The number of units of housing which have been created through acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction since 1993 with any HOPWA funds
    - (3) A brief description of any unique supportive service or other service delivery models or efforts
    - (4) Any other accomplishments recognized in your community due to the use of HOPWA funds, including any projects in developmental stages that are not operational.
  - iii. Barriers or Trends Overview
    - (1) Describe any barriers encountered, actions in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement
    - (2) Trends you expect your community to face in meeting the needs of persons with HIV/AIDS, and
    - (3) Any other information you feel may be important as you look at providing services to persons with HIV/AIDS in the next 5-10 years
  - b. Accomplishment Data
    - i. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 1 of Actual Performance in the provision of housing (Table II-1 to be submitted with CAPER).
    - ii. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 2 of Comparison to Planned Housing Actions (Table II-2 to be submitted with CAPER).

Program Year 3 CAPER Specific HOPWA Objectives response:

### **1. Overall HOPWA Assessment**

For the 2008-2009 program year, with a few exceptions, the City's HOPWA program met or exceeded its overall goal for housing information services, housing operations, short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance, supportive services, and tenant-based rental assistance. Agencies were basing their goals on clients and family members served for housing assistance, however, HUD has informed us that we are to report on clients and not total household members. This reporting gives

the appearance of not meeting goals in some areas. The foreclosures and economy contributed to a lack of affordable housing and higher rents, which caused fewer clients to be served than anticipated. A total of \$891,867 in HOPWA funds was spent to benefit 2,839 unduplicated persons with HIV/AIDS and their families. In the past few years, the City expended more HOPWA funds than this year. This was due to having surplus from previous years. The City enacted a policy change from allowing 3 year contracts to 1 year contracts, thus ensuring that HOPWA funds would be spent in a timely manner.

Table 13 below indicates the goals and actual accomplishments, which includes the number of housing units, persons assisted, and expenditures for all of the HOPWA projects for the 2008-2009 program year. The table includes the HOPWA Activities that were undertaken for the 2008-2009 program year. The Actual numbers for housing programs are just the client, as there are other tables and narratives that discuss family members assisted.

**Table 13 – 2008-2009 HOPWA Activities and Accomplishments**

	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Expended</b>
Aid For AIDS of Nevada (AFAN) – Administration	1	1	\$32,900
AFAN – Short-Term Rental Assistance	332	223	\$211,175
AFAN – Supportive Services	264	791	\$93,000
AFAN – Housing Information	3600	199	\$39,060
AFAN – Supportive Services TBRA	40	34	\$27,816
AFAN - Rental Assistance TBRA	40	11	\$64,961
Caminar – Administration	1	1	\$4,910
Caminar – Property Case Management / Housing Ops	16	5	\$22,710
Caminar – Property Case Management / Supportive Svcs	16	5	\$10,000
Caminar – My Sisters Keeper / STRMU	12	9	\$3,617
Caminar – Supportive Services Sisters Keeper	12	14	\$15,083
Community Counseling – Mental Health Drug/Alcohol	240	52	\$50,000
Golden Rainbow – Administration (2005)	1	1	\$2,380
Golden Rainbow – Housing Assistance / Housing Ops	22	22	\$25,203
Golden Rainbow – Housing Assistance / STRMU	24	11	\$5,305
Golden Rainbow – Housing Assistance / Supportive Svcs	20	39	\$915
Help of Southern Nevada – Administration	1	1	\$12,669

Help of Southern Nevada – Emergency Resource Services	780	1123	\$168,310
Nevada Association of Latin Americans (NALA) Admin	1	1	\$1,401
NALA – HOPWA Services / STRMU Assistance	70	12	\$10,210
NALA – HOPWA Services / Supportive Services	57	12	\$8,410
Women’s Development Center – Administration	1	1	\$2,800
Women’s Development Center –Housing Operations	6	5	\$29,477
Women’s Development Center – Supportive Services	6	7	\$7,723
HOPWA Administration 2008	1	1	\$28,560
HOPWA Administration (2007)			\$10,938
CLV BURCOT Condo (\$30,000)	1	1	\$2,334
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,557</b>	<b>2,574</b>	<b>\$891,868</b>

## 2. HOPWA Grantee Narrative

The City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Services Department administers the HOPWA grant for the Clark County, Nevada region. The City of Las Vegas had seven (7) project sponsors that spent HOPWA funds on housing and supportive services activities for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families. Project sponsors provided assistance to persons with HIV/AIDS and their family members that live within the Clark County Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (EMSA), including City of Las Vegas, Clark County, City of North Las Vegas, City of Henderson and Boulder City.

### Accomplishment Data

The following narratives include HOPWA accomplishments as reported by the FY 08/09 Project Sponsors.

#### Aid for AIDS of Nevada (AFAN)

Organization Description: AFAN has been in operation since 1984. AFAN's mission is to provide support and advocacy for persons and families living with HIV/AIDS in an environment of understanding, compassion, and acceptance.

Activities: HOPWA funds are utilized primarily to prevent homelessness amongst HIV positive, disabled persons who are pending Social Security Disability (SSD). STRMU emergency rental and utility assistance provides those with financial hardship in order to avoid eviction and prevent homelessness. TBRA, a new program component for AFAN, assists with permanent housing assistance. Housing Placement Coordinator provides housing placement, resource identification and information. Supportive Services provided include food vouchers, transportation assistance, nutritional counseling, and nutritional supplements.

Area of Service: Clark County EMSA

AFAN's care coordinators are the first point of contact for clients in need of everything from emergency financial assistance to medical treatment, transportation, housing, clothing, food, and counseling. For the FY 08-09, AFAN provided STRMU, TBRA, case management, housing information and referrals, and supportive services to approximately 720 clients and their families, of which 234 received housing assistance consisting of more than 820 interactions for the year. AFAN's 8 care coordinators are responsible for developing client wellness plans including long-term, stable housing, evaluation of current client status and other pertinent information with clients on a monthly basis. Through multiple collaborations with the NV State Health Division, Health Division, Clark County Social Services, SNV Health District, SNV Adult Mental Health and UMC Hospital and Wellness Center, AFAN serves every client with a true one stop shop service. Services provided by AFAN include case management, education and prevention, nutritional services, housing assistance, medical benefits coordination. AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP), Health Insurance Program (HIP), financial counseling, medication adherence, transportation, food vouchers and more. Housing plans and care plans are based on client-centered counseling which address the client's specific situation it serves as a guide to develop positive outcomes and goals. All plans address long-term, stable housing and encourage client self-sufficiency by including referrals to housing programs and assistance programs available to the general public.

AFAN uses client-centered counseling, with individualized wellness plans developed to suit the unique needs and circumstances of each client, with an emphasis on accessing and navigating the full range of services available to people living with HIV/AIDS in Southern Nevada. Services include short- and long-term housing needs, emergency financial assistance, public benefits assistance, referrals for substance abuse, legal services, counseling, support groups, physicians, drug assistance through the state ADAP program, and other needs. Because of the positive correlation between stable housing and a client's likelihood of staying in primary care and proactively managing the disease, AFAN is committed to helping clients obtain and maintain stable housing. To do so, their care coordinators address the medical and financial obstacles which commonly prevent clients from obtaining stable housing, especially during the early stages of diagnosis. Immediate attention to these obstacles is imperative, otherwise they can result in a snowball effect that can cause the perpetuation of unsafe behaviors that lead to diminished states of physical and mental health, homelessness, substance abuse, and the spread of new infections. The early detection of these issues allows care coordinators to make appropriate referrals and establish secure long-term housing plans. The care coordinator also serves as a liaison between system-wide providers and client services staff. It is the responsibility of the care coordinator to develop innovative and collaborative efforts to better meet the housing needs of this population and eliminate duplicated services. AFAN uses Client Satisfaction Surveys to evaluate client satisfaction. It is used as a guide for continual development in areas with gaps in service and is essential in minimizing duplication of services and will satisfy all new federal reporting mandates. AFAN's care coordinators also maintain an Affordable Housing List.

AFAN hosts a monthly Inter Case Conference meeting with all collaborating agencies, including the SNV Health District, Golden Rainbow, NALA, UMC Wellness

Center, WestCare, Clark County Social Services, Community Counseling Center, and SNV Adult Mental Health Services. AFAN included WestCare in their application for Ryan White Part A funds. AFAN also collaborated with Golden Rainbow and NV State Health Division on World AIDS Day under the Think! Campaign. AFAN maintains current MOU's with all of these agencies and collaborates on a regular basis. Coordination is measured by tracking clients through AFAN's Client Track system. The system tracks the number of clients receiving referrals and care coordination. Client Track is HUD certified and has HMIS reporting capabilities.

### **Caminar**

Organization Description: Caminar has been serving the Las Vegas community since 1979. Caminar is dedicated to promoting, supporting and strengthening housing opportunities for individuals' infected/affected by HIV/AIDS and other physical disabilities.

Activities: Property/case management program through HOPWA funded units by assisting HIV/AIDS clients with very low income and their families. STRMU, Housing Operations, travel to and from medical appointments, and case management. permanent HOPWA housing.

Area of Service: Clark County EMSA

Caminar's STRMU Program assisted 9 households of HIV/AIDS infected women with children with their housing costs. To be accepted into My Sisters Keeper, referrals were taken by area hospital nurses and social workers. Once approved, the staff developed a treatment plan that integrated medical, mental health and other requirements that were to be maintained in order to receive rental assistance. Participants were provided at least six months of rental assistance to support them in achieving the goals set in their monthly meetings. Caminar's Scattered Site program assisted 5 individuals with HIV/AIDS with housing. Participants were either self referred or by other community agencies. Once approved, staff developed a treatment plan that integrated medical, mental health and other requirements that were to be maintained in order to maintain residency in our housing. Caminar staff met with participants monthly to review progress towards goals. Participants were provided at least two years of housing to support them in achieving their goals. As goals were reached, more goals were set to have constant movement within the program.

Caminar was able to work with the different landlords for STRMU. At the end of the program, 90% of the participants remained in their current residence, found new ones or moved into long term shelter housing with programs at their residence. Many program participants in the Scattered Site program were homeless at the onset of the program. The clients are given up to two years in Caminar housing. All individuals maintaining their housing currently are on wait lists for other HUD based housing. Medical adherence is critical and this program afforded the participants the work with Caminar staff as well as integrating other community workers into their lives to ensure needs were being addressed.

Caminar families were able to apply, qualify and start receiving benefits through efforts coordinated by staff with other local, state, or federal funding agencies. These efforts allowed them to set goals, work toward them and in most cases accomplish them. The assistance provided enabled the participant to start and maintain the required medical adherence. Additionally, both programs offered stability to those otherwise unable to receive treatment due to their prior situation.

### **Community Counseling Center (CCC)**

Organization Description: CCC has been serving the Las Vegas community since 1990. CCC strives to promote the healthy functioning of individuals, families and communities through affordable, sensitive, and professional behavioral health services in a safe environment. HOPWA funds are used to support and strengthen clients with HIV/AIDS who have been diagnosed with alcohol and drug abuse addictions.

Activities: Counseling sessions with individuals and group therapy.

Area of Service: Clark County EMSA

CCC provides the opportunity to offer services to populations who were infected or affected by HIV as well as to their families. Accepting this challenge has made CCC a major provider of substance abuse and mental health services in Las Vegas for persons who are HIV-infected. Prevention and educational services are also offered. Individual and group therapy cover areas including, but not limited to mental health, alcohol and substance abuse, gambling, stress, anger management, impulsive behavior, grief, domestic violence. Payment for services is on a sliding fee scale based on income.

CCC is currently the only Clark County agency providing mental health and/or substance abuse counseling for individuals infected or affected by HIV. Counselors are available to clients for one-on-one sessions. Newly diagnosed clients are educated on HIV, prevention and community support. Three (3) weekly therapy groups are available to HIV infected and affected individuals. One group focuses on individuals that are dually-diagnosed with HIV+ and substance abuse.

CCC provides individual and group mental health and substance abuse counseling to clients infected and affected by HIV. Clients are referred for services by other local HIV agencies: Aid for AIDS of Nevada (AFAN), HELP of SNV, Golden Rainbow, and WDC.

### **Golden Rainbow**

Organization Description: Golden Rainbow is a grass roots organization that was founded in 1987 by members of the entertainment industry who saw the need to provide housing for people with HIV/AIDS. Golden Rainbow provides housing and direct financial assistance to men, women and children living with HIV/AIDS in Southern Nevada.

Activities: Provide emergency assistance for rent, essential utilities and supportive services to qualified persons with HIV/AIDS and their families, in addition to permanent housing.

Area of Service: Clark County EMSA

Golden Rainbow's (GR) provided emergency financial assistance for rent, essential utilities and supportive services. With the help of the HOPWA grant, GR was able to assist 11 individuals and 5 family members with housing assistance. With Supportive Service funds GR was able to assist 24 individuals and 15 other persons in the family with health cards, ID's, and employment assistance. The program is evaluated using client satisfaction surveys to ensure the needs of their clients are met and agency is providing effective services. GR continually collaborates with various Community-Based Organizations to clarify program services, avoid duplication and provide the most effective and efficient services possible. GR's Permanent Housing Program consists of eight two- bedroom apartments and a three- bedroom home for low income individuals and families with HIV/AIDS and is currently supporting 22 individuals each month.

Golden Rainbow's Permanent Housing Program consists of eight two- bedroom apartments and a three- bedroom home for low income individuals and families with HIV/AIDS and is currently supporting 22 individuals each month compared to the proposed 24 individuals that were projected to be served for the FY08/09. Communication is on-going with AIDS service organization staff and individuals serving the needs of the HIV/AIDS community. Staff also communicates with clients to determine unmet needs, procedural difficulties and/or other issues that are encountered as they journey through the social service system. Results of these discussions are communicated through individual and group meetings with the various HIV/AIDS service providers. Additionally, staff attends various training and/or networking sessions on services and funds available through AIDS service organizations and Community Based Organizations in this EMSA to ensure an optimal utilization of resources. GR staff also provides training and information for Board and Advisory Board members to ensure all are familiar with the GR service guidelines, procedures and anticipated results.

Golden Rainbow has remained a credible, responsible organization which continues to serve the Las Vegas EMA with the highest of standards. GR maintained their housing program and increased the direct financial assistance program through a variety of private fundraisers, application and receipt of federal funding and personal donations.

### **HELP of Southern Nevada**

Organization Description: HELP of Southern Nevada was founded in 1970. HELP of Southern Nevada's mission is to serve with care and assist families and individuals throughout Southern Nevada to overcome barriers and attain self-sufficiency through direct services, training, and referral to community resources.

Activities: Provide food vouchers through HOPWA funding, as well as bus tokens, personal hygiene items and housing information funded through other grants. Maintain an affordable housing database and website for HIV/AIDS clients and the agencies that serve them.

Area of Service: Clark County EMSA

HELP's goal was to provide direct services i.e. food vouchers and transportation assistance to at least 600+ HOPWA clients. They actually doubled the number for

the program year. Challenges they faced was the increase in the number of clients due to economic challenges.

HELP's outcome with assistance for food and transportation doubled. The next operating year will be a challenge because funding levels are not the same as prior years, however HELP reported they will continue to assist the population as best they can.

### **Nevada Association of Latin Americans (NALA)**

Organization Description: NALA was founded in 1969. NALA's mission is to improve opportunity and quality of life for people of all ages, by providing individuals and families of low to moderate incomes outstanding educational and social services in a climate of caring and mutual respect.

Activities: Provides short-term rental, mortgage, and utility assistance and supportive services, primarily in the Hispanic community, for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families.

Area of Service: Clark County EMSA

NALA states, the time period from July 2008 to February 2009 proved to be especially challenging in achieving the goals as projected for the program year, in most part because of the troubled economy, which has produced an increasing number of clients applying for all types of services especially rental, mortgage and utility assistance. Many of these clients were independent in the past, but adverse situations at work such as lay offs, decreasing work hours, even loss of employment, force them to seek help through the Ryan White and HOPWA programs. This problem accentuates the importance of detecting the most deserving clients, taking in consideration the client's acuity level, CD-4 Count, Viral Load, and co-morbidities without ignoring all the affected individuals connected to the client. STRMU funds enabled them to help five unduplicated clients, all residing in the Las Vegas Area, in addition to Supportive Services for HIV/AIDS clients and their families.

NALA client outcomes for achieving housing stability can be assessed as follows: Male Hispanic client, 39 years old, diagnosed with facial Herpes, Pneumocystis Pneumonia (PCP) with only 7 CD-4 cells and a Viral Load of 50,300 copies. After completion of the 21 weeks of STRMU Assistance, client has recovered his health and is gainfully employed. Female African client 57 years old, homeless and victim of domestic violence, is now permanently housed on her own and has successfully resolved her legal issues stemming from the domestic violence. Male Hispanic client, 36 years old, diagnosed with severe case of Kaposi's Sarcoma currently hospitalized due to complications of AIDS, has been able to keep his residence when discharged, also secured his possessions, thanks to the rental assistance provided with HOPWA funds. Male Hispanic clients 37 and 41 years old still unable to work and undergoing lengthy treatment one for Herpes of the brain which has led to the loss of vision of one eye, and the other for Spinal problems, both completed the 21 weeks STR Assistance Program and by networking with local agencies have been able to obtain additional help and support.

NALA is constantly collaborating with all agencies public and private to address the different needs of eligible clients as part of the Case Management System in this TGA.

### **Women's Development Center**

Organization Description: Women's Development Center (WDC) was founded in 1987. WDC is committed to providing opportunities to economically disadvantaged families to secure transitional, permanent rental housing and home ownership. WDC also provides supportive services to families to attain long-term self-sufficiency and combat "the cycle of poverty".

Activities: Provides permanent housing through housing operations, project-based rental assistance and supportive services for HIV/AIDS clients and their families.

Area of Service: Clark County EMSA

During FY 08/09 WDC provided housing for participants which reduced their risk of becoming homeless. All residents received food baskets that contained nutritious, healthy items. Units are located near bus routes, medical and shopping facilities.

100% of WDC residents (five) maintained their housing for the entire year.

WDC continues to work closely with AFAN regarding referrals for the program. WDC's role is to provide the housing component, AFAN provides case management. There are occasions WDC refers a client to an area resource such as Help of Southern of NV, Clark County Health District, Social Security and State of NV Welfare Department for assistance.

### **Grant Management Oversight**

The HOPWA program is monitored in the same process as the CDBG and ESG programs as detailed in the City's Consolidated Plan. All of the HOPWA project sponsors were monitored throughout the 2008-2009 program year by the HOPWA program administrator. The City will continue to monitor all HOPWA project sponsors for program compliance and study performance measures, outputs, and outcomes. Please also refer to the Monitoring section of this CAPER on page 9.

### **Local Jurisdiction**

The City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Services Department administers the HOPWA grant for the Clark County, Nevada region. According to the Clark County Health District (CCHD), there were 2,993 individuals with HIV and 4,358 individuals with AIDS in Clark County as of December 2005. The number of new diagnoses of HIV was 306 individuals in 2005.

### **Planning and Consultations for the Use of HOPWA Funds**

The City referred to the HIV/AIDS needs assessment from the Las Vegas EMA Ryan White Title I Planning Council Comprehensive Care Plan when drafting the City's 2007-2010 Consolidated Plan. City staff attended the Ryan White Title I Planning Council Summit 2007 that was held by Clark County Social Services. The Las Vegas EMA Ryan White Title I Planning Council Comprehensive Care Plan is available in print form at the Ryan White Title I Planning Council Office, 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 1125, Las Vegas, NV 89109, or call (702) 933-9603, or electronically at [www.lasvegasema.org/supportingdocuments.html](http://www.lasvegasema.org/supportingdocuments.html).

### Category Breakout

Table 14 below indicates the categories HOWPA funds were broken down into during the 2008-2009 program year.

**Table 14 – HOPWA Categories**

	Goal	Actual	Client only	expended
AFAN – Short-Term Rental Assistance	332	453	223	\$211,175
AFAN – TBRA	40	34	11	\$64,961
Caminar – Housing Operations	16	5	5	\$22,710
Caminar – STRMU	12	14	9	\$3,617
Golden Rainbow – STRMU Assistance	24	16	11	\$5,305
Golden Rainbow –Housing Operations	22	22	22	\$25,203
NALA – HOPWA Services / STRMU	70	12	12	\$10,210
Women’s Development Center – Housing Ops	6	7	5	\$29,477
	<b>522</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>\$372,658</b>
AFAN – Supportive Services	264	791		\$93,000
AFAN – Supportive Services TBRA	40	34		\$27,816
Caminar – Property Case Management / Supportive Services	16	5		\$10,000
Caminar – Supportive Services STRMU	12	14		\$15,083
Community Counseling – Supp Svcs	240	52		\$50,000
Golden Rainbow – Supportive Services	20	39		\$915
Help of Southern Nevada – Emergency Resource Services	780	1123		\$168,310
NALA – HOPWA Services / Supportive Services	57	12		\$8,410
Women’s Development Center – Supportive Services	6	7		\$7,723
	<b>1435</b>	<b>2077</b>	<b>2077</b>	<b>\$381,257</b>
AFAN – Housing Information	<b>3600</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>\$39,060</b>

Aid For AIDS of Nevada (AFAN) – Admin	1	1		\$32,900
Caminar – Administration	1	1		\$4,910
Golden Rainbow – Administration	1	1		\$2,380
Help of Southern Nevada – Administration	1	1		\$12,669
NALA –Administration	1	1		\$1,401
Women’s Development Center – Admin	1	1		\$2,800
HOPWA Administration CLV	1	1		\$28,560
HOPWA Administration CLV (2007)				\$10,938
			<b>Total</b>	<b>\$96,558</b>
CLV Burcot Condo (\$30,000)	1	1		\$2,334
HOPWA Future Pot	1	1		\$42,540
<b>Total clients served</b>	<b>5,557</b>	<b>2,839</b>	<b>2,574</b>	<b>\$891,867</b>

	Goal	Actual	Client only	expended
AFAN – Short-Term Rental Assistance	282	453	223	\$211,175
Caminar – STRMU	12	14	9	\$3,617
Golden Rainbow – STRMU Assistance	10	16	11	\$5,305
NALA – HOPWA Services / STRMU	10	12	12	\$10,210
<b>TOTAL STRMU</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>\$230,307</b>
AFAN – TBRA	40	34	11	\$64,961
Caminar – Housing Operations	16	5	5	\$22,710
Golden Rainbow –Housing Operations	22	22	22	\$25,203
Women’s Development Center – Housing Ops	6	7	5	\$29,477
<b>TOTAL HSG OPS</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>\$77,390</b>
	<b>398</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>\$372,658</b>
AFAN – Supportive Services	264	791		\$93,000
AFAN – Supportive Services TBRA	40	34		\$27,816
Caminar – Property Case Mgmt / Sup Sv	16	5		\$10,000
Caminar – Supportive Services STRMU	12	14		\$15,083
Golden Rainbow – Supportive Services	20	39		\$915

NALA – HOPWA Services / Supp Svcs	57	12		\$8,410
Women’s Development Center – Supp Svcs	6	7		\$7,723
	<b>415</b>	<b>902</b>		<b>\$162,947</b>
Community Counseling – Supp Svcs	240	52		\$50,000
Help of Southern Nevada – ERS Supp Svcs	780	1123		\$168,310
	<b>1020</b>	<b>1175</b>		<b>\$218,310</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1435</b>	<b>2077</b>		<b>\$381,257</b>
AFAN – Housing Information	<b>1662</b>	<b>199</b>		<b>\$39,060</b>
Aid For AIDS of Nevada (AFAN) – Admin	1	1		\$32,900
Caminar – Administration	1	1		\$4,910
Golden Rainbow – Administration	1	1		\$2,380
Help of Southern Nevada – Administration	1	1		\$12,669
NALA –Administration	1	1		\$1,401
Women’s Development Center – Admin	1	1		\$2,800
HOPWA Administration CLV	1	1		\$28,560
HOPWA Administration CLV (2007)				\$10,938
			<b>Total</b>	<b>\$96,558</b>
CLV Burcot Condo (30,000)	1	1		\$2,334
HOPWA Future Pot	1	1		\$42,540
<b>Total clients served</b>	<b>3,495</b>	<b>2,839</b>	<b>2,574</b>	<b>\$891,867</b>

### Collaborative Efforts

City staff met with Clark County Social Services (CCSS) to discuss software and reporting mechanisms for the HOPWA program. City staff initiated meetings with the Project Sponsors to discuss client services and networking amongst the agencies. City staff anticipates participating in the Ryan White Title I Planning Council meetings.

### Housing Activities Accomplishments

For the 2008-2009 program year, HOPWA project sponsors assisted 298 households with housing, with family members assisted, that number is 563. Table 15 below indicates the housing activities accomplishments for Short-term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance, Rental Assistance, and Facility Based Housing by project sponsors during the 2008-2009 program year.

**Table 15 – Housing Activities Accomplishments**

	Goal	Actual	Client only	expended
AFAN – Short-Term Rental Assistance	282	453	223	\$211,175
Caminar – STRMU	12	14	9	\$3,617
Golden Rainbow – STRMU Assistance	10	16	11	\$5,305
NALA – HOPWA Services / STRMU	10	12	12	\$10,210
<b>TOTAL STRMU</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>\$230,307</b>
AFAN – TBRA	40	34	11	\$64,961
Caminar – Housing Operations	16	5	5	\$22,710
Golden Rainbow –Housing Operations	22	22	22	\$25,203
Women’s Development Center – Housing Ops	6	7	5	\$29,477
<b>TOTAL HSG OPS</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>\$77,390</b>
	<b>398</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>\$372,658</b>

**Housing Acquisition/Construction Activities**

No acquisition or new construction activities took place during this fiscal for HOPWA by Project Sponsors. In order to protect the HOPWA funds used to purchase a two-bedroom unit, the City had to foreclose on a HOPWA Condominium, and is in the process of rehabilitating it. Once complete, it will be given to a Project Sponsor through an RFP process for continued use as an affordable HOPWA rental unit.

**Supportive Services Accomplishments**

For the 2008-2009 program year, HOPWA project sponsors assisted 2,077 persons with HIV/AIDS and their family members with supportive services. HOPWA project sponsors assisted 199 persons with HIV/AIDS and their family members with housing information services. Table 16 below indicates the supportive services accomplishments by project sponsors during the 2008-2009 program year.

**Table 16 – Supportive Services Accomplishments**

AFAN – Supportive Services	264	791
AFAN – Supportive Services TBRA	40	34
Caminar – Property Case Management / Supportive Services	16	5
Caminar – Supportive Services STRMU	12	14
Community Counseling – Supp Svcs	240	52

Golden Rainbow – upportive Services	20	39
Help of Southern Nevada – Emergency Resource Services	780	1123
NALA – HOPWA Services / Supportive Services	57	12
Women’s Development Center – Supportive Services	6	7
	<b>1435</b>	<b>2077</b>

**Other Accomplishments**

**Barriers Overview**

HOPWA Barriers Encountered include:

- Expensive land to build affordable housing for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families
- Lack of availability of properties that can be rehabilitated near hospital and medical facilities for affordable housing for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families
- Lack of housing development experience among HOPWA service providers
- Limited amount of available resources to provide housing assistance and supportive services to persons with HIV/AIDS and their families
- Lack of community support and NIMBYism (Not In My Backyard) towards special needs housing

As the face of HIV/AIDS changes and federal funding sources diminish or implement change, it is crucial that yearly technical assistance/updates be made available to community based organizations in order to plan and execute necessary change without disruption of services to clients.

Caminar reported that transportation became an issue as it took longer for their clients to get to appointments. The extreme temperature plays a key factor in waiting for public transportation. Multiple diagnoses became prevalent with 75% of the participants, yet the barrier in treatment for these individuals continues in locating qualified providers. Budgeting for the housing repairs as a result of tenant's destruction or age of the housing unit became difficult to manage.

Overall, the 10 to 20% increase in rent in the past few years, the conversion of thousands of apartments into condos, and the elimination of thousands of trailer park spaces has contributed to the lack housing availability in Las Vegas. One major factor to trends in the community is the economic downfall and the challenges to meet the demands for these services. Agencies have stated they have seen an increase in the # of individuals in need of these services yet there is a significant decrease in the availability of these resources. Unfortunately, a common coping mechanism for many individuals is an increase in both alcohol and drug consumption. The number of substance abuse clients has increased.

The barriers the Hispanic HIV/AIDS Community most commonly face derive from the fact that more than 50% of the cases are undocumented immigrants, which make them automatically ineligible for many government aid programs, with the only exception of Ryan White. Unfortunately this situation presents no outcome unless the client is eligible to obtain a Permanent Resident Card. The trends deeply imbedded in the Hispanic Community that affect the way in which the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS are being addressed, are ignorance and fear. These two aspects of the Hispanic culture have a wide range of repercussions that go from preventing individuals to get tested for HIV, STD's, etc. to get treatment when already diagnosed.

For more information on barriers encountered by persons with HIV/AIDS, please refer to the Las Vegas EMA Ryan White Title I Planning Council Comprehensive Care Plan, which is available in print form at the Ryan White Title I Planning Council Office, 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 1125, Las Vegas, NV 89109, or call (702) 933-9603.

Actions in Response to Barriers / Recommendations for Program Improvement include:

- Provided technical assistance as needed to HOPWA service providers
- HOPWA service providers are collaborating better to help avoid duplication of services and to track their clients
- Drafted a Request for Proposal for HOPWA projects or programs open to HOPWA service providers
- HOPWA project sponsors are seeking additional funding resources for affordable housing projects and supportive services
- HOPWA project sponsors are increasing the number of special fundraising events and are getting the private sector involved to help fund their programs and projects
- Fund HIV/AIDS educational workshops and outreach programs to promote community support
- City staff will work closely with Clark County Social Services about HIV/AIDS needs assessment and project sponsor capacity issues

### **Trends Overview**

According to the Southern Nevada Health District (SNHD), there were 2,993 individuals with HIV and 4,358 individuals with AIDS in Clark County as of December 2005. Numbers are inclusive of both dead and living individuals. The number of new diagnoses of HIV was 306 individuals in 2005. According to the SNHD, Black, Non-Hispanics represent one of the disproportionate populations of new HIV cases with the highest rates (43.7 per 100,000) and percentages (Males 19%, Females 38%) considering they represent only 9.1% of the population. White individuals have the largest percentage of new HIV cases with 48 percent (%), followed by Hispanic individuals at 25 percent (%), and Black individuals at 22 percent (%).

According to HOPWA project sponsors, the number of new clients with HIV/AIDS and their families seeking assistance is increasing every month. HOPWA project

sponsors are seeking additional HOPWA and other funding sources to meet this increasing demand of the HIV/AIDS population in Clark County. Despite the decreasing availability of funding, HOPWA projects sponsors will continue to work diligently to meet their program's annual performance measures.

For additional community trends information, please refer to the 2007-2010 City of Las Vegas Consolidated Plan and the Las Vegas EMA Ryan White Title I Planning Council Comprehensive Care Plan which is available in print form at the Ryan White Title I Planning Council Office, 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 1125, Las Vegas, NV 89109, or call (702) 933-9603, or electronically at [www.lasvegasema.org/supportingdocuments.html](http://www.lasvegasema.org/supportingdocuments.html).

## OTHER NARRATIVE

Include any CAPER information that was not covered by narratives in any other section. Program Year 3 CAPER Other Narrative response. (HUD Stand Alone HOPWA CAPER is attached).

On July 1, 2006, the City left the Clark County HOME Consortium and became a HOME-entitlement grantee. Prior year HOME program funds spent on HOME projects are reported within the Clark County HOME Consortium CAPER which is available at <http://www.co.clark.nv.us/finance/crm/Library.htm>. Current year HOME program funds spent on HOME projects are reported within this CAPER. The HOME program was included in the City's 2007-2010 Consolidated Plan and 2008-2009 Action Plan.

The Consolidated Plan Management Program Tool was used by the City of Las Vegas to complete the 2006-2007 Action Plan, 2007-2008 Action Plan, and 2008-2009 Action Plan. Project Worksheets are attached and include activities that were funded during the 2008-2009 program year and the actual accomplishments. The Summary of Specific Annual Objectives indicates the City's actual accomplishments as compared to the City's goals for the annual objectives. Also, included are the City's Housing Needs, Homeless Needs, Non-Homeless Special Needs, Community Development Needs, and HOPWA Needs Tables for the 2008-2009 program year. The HOME Match Report is also attached.

Attached is the proof of publication of the draft CAPER for the citizen participation requirements. In addition, attached are the City's HUD Integrated Disbursement and Information Systems (IDIS) reports for the 2008-2009 program year. This includes the CDBG Activity Summary Report (PR03), Summary of Consolidated Plan Projects (PR06), ESG Statistics for Projects (PR12), Summary of Accomplishments (PR23), updated CDBG Financial Summary Report (PR26), Status of HOME Grants Report (PR27), and HOPWA Project Activity Summary (PR80, 82).

The CAPER attachments are included in the following order:

1. Housing Needs Table
2. Homeless Needs Table
3. Non-Homeless Special Needs Table
4. Community Development Needs Table
5. HOPWA Needs Table
6. Proof of Publication
7. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives
8. Project Worksheets
9. HOME Match Report
10. HOME MBE and WBE Report
11. CDBG Activity Summary Report (PR03)
12. Summary of Consolidated Plan Projects (PR06)
13. ESG Statistics for Projects (PR12)
14. Summary of Accomplishments (PR23)
15. Updated CDBG Financial Summary Report (PR26)
16. Status of HOME Grants Report (PR80, 82)
17. HOPWA Project Activity Summary (PR80, 82)